

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/660,543	Applicant(s) FISCHER, DAVID C.
	Examiner Tania C. Courson	Art Unit 2859

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Tania C. Courson.

(3) _____.

(2) Attorney Marvin Gordon.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 May 2006**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-17

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to expedite prosecution and avoid an unresponsive reply to the amendment filed on March 13, 2006, an agreement was reached upon the claim language of the Amendment filed on March 13, 2006. See attached copy of claims 1-17 for clarification of amended claim language, specifically to claims 1 and 17 and as for claims 4 and 12-13, these claims should be cancelled..